Attorney Docket No.: 542-015.002

Serial No.: 10/632,360

<u>REMARKS</u>

The Office examined claims 1, and 3-6 and rejected same. With this paper, claim 1 is amended, claims 3-6 are canceled. The application now includes 1 claim.

Claim Rejections under 35 USC §112/Allowable Subject Matter

The Office rejected claims 1-6 under 35 USC §112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

The Office also indicated that claim 1 would be allowable if rewritten or amended to overcome the rejections under 35 USC §112, 2nd paragraph, as set forth in the Office Action.

With this paper, claim 1 is amended. It is believed that the amendment will overcome the grounds for the rejections under 35 USC §112, second paragraph. Accordingly, applicant respectfully requests the rejection of claim 1 be reconsidered and withdrawn.

Claim Rejections under 35 USC §103

Claims 3-6 are rejected under 35 USC §103(a) as being unpatentable Yurugi *et al* (US Patent No. 6,767,980) in view of Ylitalo *et al* (US Patent No. 6,558,753).

These claims have been canceled with prejudice as applicant may decide to file a continuation on these claims.

Attorney Docket No.: 542-015.002

Serial No.: 10/632,360

Conclusion

It is believed that all of the claims of the application are now in condition for allowance, and their passage to issue is earnestly solicited. Applicant's agent urges the Examiner to call to discuss the present response if anything in the present response is unclear or unpersuasive.

November 09, 2005

Date

Ware, Fressola, van der Sluys & Adolphson LLP 755 Main Street, P.O. Box 224 Monroe, CT 06468-0224

Tel: (203) 261-1234 Cust. No.: 004955 Respectfully submitted,

Shiming Wu Agent for the Applicant Registration No. 56,885